



Ó Breasail, M., Ward, K. A., Schoenbuchner, S. M., Ceesay, M., Mendy, M., Jarjou, L. M., Moore, S. E., & Prentice, A. (2021). Pregnancy-related change in pQCT and bone biochemistry in a population with a habitually low calcium intake. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, 36(7), 1269-1280.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.4295>

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

License (if available):  
CC BY-NC-ND

Link to published version (if available):  
[10.1002/jbmr.4295](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.4295)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)  
PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via Wiley at <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.4295> . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

## University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

### General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:  
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

# Pregnancy-Related Change in pQCT and Bone Biochemistry in a Population With a Habitually Low Calcium Intake

Mícheál Ó Breasail,<sup>1</sup> Kate A Ward,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Simon M Schoenbuchner,<sup>4</sup> Mustapha Ceesay,<sup>3</sup> Michael Mendy,<sup>3</sup> Landing M Jarjou,<sup>3</sup> Sophie E Moore,<sup>3,5</sup> and Ann Prentice<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MRC Nutrition and Bone Health Research Group, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

<sup>2</sup>MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, UK

<sup>3</sup>MRC Unit, The Gambia at London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Banjul, The Gambia

<sup>4</sup>MRC Biostatistics Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge Institute of Public Health, Cambridge, UK

<sup>5</sup>Department of Women and Children's Health, King's College London, London, UK

## ABSTRACT

In pregnancy, changes in maternal calcium (Ca) economy occur to satisfy fetal Ca demand. It is unclear whether maternal mineral reserves facilitate these requirements and no data exist from sub-Saharan Africa. The aim was to determine skeletal changes with peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT) and bone biochemistry between early second and third trimesters. Pregnant rural Gambians aged 18 to 45 years ( $n = 467$ ) participating in a trial of antenatal nutritional supplements (ISRCTN49285450) had pQCT scans and blood collections at mean (SD) 14 (3) and 31 (1) weeks' gestation. Outcomes were pQCT: radius/tibia 4% total volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD), trabecular vBMD, total cross-sectional area (CSA), 33%/38% radius/tibia cortical vBMD, bone mineral content (BMC), total CSA; biochemistry: collagen type 1 cross-linked  $\beta$ -C-telopeptide ( $\beta$ -CTX), type 1 procollagen N-terminal (P1NP), parathyroid hormone (PTH), and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D. Independent  $t$  tests tested whether pooled or within-group changes differed from 0. Multiple regression was performed adjusting for age. Data for change are expressed as mean (confidence interval [CI] 2.5, 97.5%). Radius trabecular vBMD, cortical vBMD, and BMC increased by 1.15 (0.55, 1.75)%, 0.41 (0.24, 0.58)%, and 0.47 (0.25, 0.69)%. Tibia total and trabecular vBMD increased by 0.34 (0.15, 0.54)% and 0.46 (0.17, 0.74)%, while tibia cortical vBMD, BMC, and cortical CSA increased by 0.35 (0.26, 0.44)%, 0.55 (0.41, 0.68)% and 0.20 (0.09, 0.31)%, respectively. CTX, PTH, and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D increased by 23.0 (15.09, 29.29)%, 13.2 (8.44, 19.34)%, and 21.0 (17.67, 24.29)%, while P1NP decreased by 32.4 (−37.19, −28.17)%. No evidence of mobilization was observed in the peripheral skeleton. Resorption, although higher in late versus early gestation, was lower throughout pregnancy compared with non-pregnant non-lactating (NPNL) in the same community. Formation was lower in late pregnancy than in early, and below NPNL levels. This suggests a shift in the ratio of resorption to formation. Despite some evidence of change in bone metabolism, in this population, with habitually low Ca intakes, the peripheral skeleton was not mobilized as a Ca source for the fetus. © 2021 crown copyright. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American Society for Bone and Mineral Research (ASBMR). The article published with the permission of the Controller of HMSO and the Queen's Printer of Scotland.

**KEY WORDS:** ANALYSIS/QUANTIFICATION OF BONE; BONE QCT/ $\mu$ CT; EPIDEMIOLOGY; GENERAL POPULATION STUDIES; AGING; BONE MODELING AND REMODELING; BIOCHEMICAL MARKERS OF BONE TURNOVER

## Introduction

During pregnancy, the fetus is reliant on the mother to satisfy its nutrient requirements. As fetal calcium (Ca) accretion increases across gestation from ~50 mg/d at 20 weeks to

330 mg/d at 35 weeks<sup>(1)</sup> and at birth the newborn skeleton contains 20 to 30 g Ca,<sup>(2)</sup> it is plausible that these high demands may result in bone mineral mobilization from the maternal skeleton. In the literature, there are conflicting reports concerning bone mineral mobilization during pregnancy.<sup>(3,4)</sup> The small number

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Received in original form September 25, 2020; revised form March 13, 2021; accepted March 16, 2021; Accepted manuscript online March 30, 2021.

Address correspondence to: Mícheál Ó Breasail, PhD, MRC Nutrition and Bone Health Research Group, Clifford Allbutt Building, University of Cambridge, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Hills Road, Cambridge, CB2 0AH, UK. E-mail: mo401@cam.ac.uk

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

*Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, Vol. 00, No. 00, Month 2021, pp 1–12.

DOI: 10.1002/jbmr.4295

© 2021 crown copyright. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American Society for Bone and Mineral Research (ASBMR). The article published with the permission of the Controller of HMSO and the Queen's Printer of Scotland.

of studies that used X-ray-based techniques to explore pregnancy-related skeletal changes typically have either obtained whole-body and regional dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans before and after pregnancy or have scanned the forearm during pregnancy.<sup>(5–20)</sup> Both of these approaches have limitations because postpartum measures are confounded by lactation and because DXA cannot distinguish between cortical and trabecular bone, which may be differentially affected by pregnancy. Peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT), however, allows for the measurement of compartment-specific measures of volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD) and, because it involves minimal exposure to ionizing radiation, is suitable for studies during pregnancy.<sup>(21,22)</sup> Recently, in a population of predominately white women in Cambridge, UK, we found evidence of pregnancy-related decreases in maternal vBMD at the distal tibia using both pQCT (total and trabecular vBMD) and high-resolution pQCT (total and cortical vBMD).<sup>(22)</sup> No studies have been conducted in sub-Saharan Africa or in other low- and middle-income countries where poor nutritional status and micronutrient deficiencies are common and where the demands on the maternal skeleton may be greater because of repeated cycles of pregnancy and lactation. Women in rural areas of The Gambia, West Africa, have a habitually low calcium intake (approximately 350 mg/d) and often have many pregnancies with long lactation periods during their lifetime. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to determine whether pregnancy-related skeletal changes occur in rural Gambian women using pQCT and biomarkers of bone turnover. The primary objective was to determine if total and trabecular vBMD at the radius and tibia decrease during pregnancy and whether this is modified by maternal nutritional supplementation. The secondary objective was to consider the effect of pregnancy and maternal supplementation on other skeletal pQCT measures and markers of bone turnover (BTMs).

## Materials and Methods

### Recruitment

The data presented here were collected as part of a bone-focused add-on study to The Early Nutrition and Immune Development (ENID) Trial (ISRCTN49285450).<sup>(23)</sup> ENID was a longitudinal randomized controlled trial of nutritional supplementation in the rural West Kiang region of The Gambia, West Africa, designed to investigate the effects of antenatal and infant nutritional supplementation on infant immune development. The add-on study (ENID-Bone) was approved by the Joint Gambian Government/MRC The Gambia Ethics Committee (project number SCC1126v2; add-on L2009.66). Recruitment into ENID took place in all 36 villages registered within the West Kiang Demographic Surveillance System (DSS).<sup>(24)</sup> Inclusion criteria for the study were age 18 to 45 years, pregnant <20 weeks on ultrasound assessment, and resident in the West Kiang DSS area. Exclusion criteria were currently being enrolled in another MRC study and severe anemia at booking (hemoglobin [Hb] <7 g/dL). All women entering the ENID trial were invited to participate in the ENID-Bone add-on study; our analyses comprise women with pQCT scans of usable quality performed on two occasions during pregnancy as part of the ENID-Bone add-on. These were at an initial booking visit (booking ~14 weeks pregnancy) and at approximately 30 weeks' gestation (P30) as determined by ultrasonography.<sup>(23)</sup> Data included in the analyses presented here are for a subset of women who

had the pair of pQCT scans performed on the same instrument to minimize any calibration differences between the two scanners in use in the research facility (described below). Informed consent was obtained by trained field workers with written consent obtained through either a signature or a thumb print.

### Supplement groups in the ENID trial

Each participant in ENID was randomized into the trial to start supplementation immediately after the booking visit, and supplementation continued until delivery. Randomization to supplement group was by an automated system.<sup>(23)</sup> The four antenatal supplements (Supplemental Table S1) were iron-folic acid (IFA; given as per standard antenatal practice in The Gambia); multi-micronutrients (MMN); lipid-based protein-rich energy and protein (PE); and a lipid-based PE + MMN, which is these two supplements combined.<sup>(23)</sup>

### Anthropometry

Detailed maternal anthropometry was collected at each visit. Height (cm) was obtained without footwear to the nearest 0.1 cm by wall-mounted stadiometer (Seca GmbH, Hamburg, Germany). Weight (kg) was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital scale, with participants wearing light clothing without footwear (Seca GmbH). Limb length measurements were obtained to the nearest 0.1 cm using a tape measure: tibial length from the distal edge of the medial malleolus to the tibial plateau; ulna length from the end of the olecranon to the distal end of the ulnar styloid process.

### Bone densitometry

The research facility in The Gambia has two pQCT instruments: XCT 2000L and XCT 2000 (Stratec Medizintechnik, Pforzheim, Germany). For this longitudinal study, the two scans for each individual were obtained as far as possible on the same instrument. pQCT scans of the non-dominant radius and tibia were performed with a voxel size of 0.5 mm and slice thickness of 2 mm, at the radius (at 4% and 33% of the limb length proximal to the distal endplate) and tibia (at 4% and 38% of the limb length proximal to the distal endplate). CT scan speed was 30 mm/s and scout view scan speed was 40 mm/s. pQCT scans were processed using the manufacturer's software (Stratec XCT version 6.2): at 4% sites CALCBD analysis contour mode 1, peel mode 1 at a threshold of 180 mg/cm<sup>3</sup> was used with trabecular bone being defined as the inner area of 45% of the total cross-sectional area (CSA). At cortical sites, a threshold of 710 mg/cm<sup>3</sup> was selected in conjunction with CORTBD separation mode 1 and measures of cortical bone mineral content (BMC), vBMD, cortical CSA, and thickness derived. Total CSA was defined at proximal sites at a threshold of 280 mg/cm<sup>3</sup>. Scans were qualitatively graded by visual inspection to assess their suitability for longitudinal analysis: scan slices with excessive movement or other artifacts and scout views that did not match longitudinally were excluded. Calibration of the XCT systems was performed on a routine basis with the manufacturer's phantom: daily quality-assurance scans and weekly quality-control scans were performed throughout the study period to test scanner performance. Previous studies at our site found the inter-operator precision to be 0.3% to 1.8% for bone outcome measures at the tibia and 1.1% to 6.4% at the radius by performing two repeat scans on 30 Gambian participants. Participants were exposed to low doses of ionizing radiation; a set of radius/tibia

scans had an effective dose equivalent to  $<3.2 \mu\text{Sv}$  per visit,<sup>(25)</sup> less than half background radiation levels.

### Laboratory measures

Venous blood samples were collected into lithium heparin blood tubes after an overnight fast. Plasma was separated by centrifugation at 1800g for 10 minutes at 4°C, stored at -80°C, and subsequently transported to the MRC Elsie Widdowson Laboratory (Cambridge, UK) on dry ice and stored at -80°C. Blood was processed as EDTA plasma for parathyroid hormone (PTH) analysis and as lithium heparin plasma for bone turnover markers (P1NP and collagen type 1 crosslinked  $\beta$ -C-telopeptide [ $\beta$ -CTX]) and other analytes relating to calcium, phosphorus, and vitamin D metabolism (calcium, phosphate, magnesium, albumin, creatinine, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1,25[OH]<sub>2</sub>D), total alkaline phosphatase (TALP). Corrected calcium was derived as described by Payne and colleagues.<sup>(26)</sup> A subset was selected for the analysis of CTx, P1NP, 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, and PTH due to limited volume and the expense of the assays. The samples analyzed were selected to ensure the proportions were balanced across the supplement groups (Supplemental Table S2). Urine was collected in acid-washed containers over 24 hours and kept cool in boxes with freezer packs and returned to the lab for mixing and freezing the next day. Aliquots were acidified with concentrated HCl (10  $\mu\text{L}/\text{mL}$ ) and stored at -20°C before transport to EWL and then at -80°C before analysis. The details of the assays used are given in the supplemental material, as are the numbers of samples analyzed for each plasma analyte (Supplemental Table S2). Urinary data from women with complete collections at booking and P30 are presented in the supplemental material ( $n = 421$ ; Supplemental Table S3). Reference data from a separate group of non-pregnant, non-lactating (NPNL) women aged 30 to 45 years living in the same region of rural Gambia and obtained from assays conducted in the same laboratory are provided for context ( $n = 136$ ; Supplemental Table S4) (A Prentice, unpublished data, personal communication).

### Post hoc powering for pQCT analysis

At the time of planning the analysis for this study, no comparable pQCT data were available in the literature to perform a power calculation. Instead, post hoc powering was used, based on a previous study where changes in DXA-measured size-adjusted BMC at the lumbar spine of 2.6% (SD 4.2%) occurred between pre-pregnancy and 2 weeks postpartum.<sup>(18)</sup> This determined that a sample size of 35 participants would be required to detect within-group change in BMD from booking to 30 weeks pregnancy of a similar magnitude with a power of 0.9 and significance of 0.05.<sup>(27)</sup> Since that time, we have shown in a UK pQCT study comparing pregnant to NPNL women that significant within-group differences could be observed with  $n = 35$ .<sup>(22)</sup> Thus the number of scans available from the ENID-Bone study ( $n = 467$ ) was more than sufficient to detect changes of a similar magnitude.

### Data analyses

All analyses were performed using R version 3.3.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; <https://www.r-project.org/>) with dplyr (version 0.8.3) for data manipulation.<sup>(28)</sup> Baseline characteristics are presented with data given as mean (SD), except for parity, which is expressed as median (IQR) and also in categories as  $n$  (%). Natural logarithms of all variables except

age were calculated to normalize the data distributions and to describe proportional (percentage) differences between (and within) groups.<sup>(29)</sup> Between-visit change in each variable was expressed as a sympercent ( $[(\text{difference}/\text{mean}) \times 100]$ , derived by subtracting  $\log_e(\text{booking})$  from  $\log_e(\text{P30})$  and multiplying by 100.<sup>(29)</sup>

ANOVA with post hoc Tukey test was used to test for significant differences between the supplement groups at booking. Independent  $t$  tests, equivalent to paired  $t$  tests, were used to determine whether the mean between-visit change in each variable was significantly different from zero. Multiple regression was used to explore the extent of between-visit change after adjusting for maternal age at booking. Maternal age at booking (in years) was included in the models centered on the mean age of the participants. This allows the intercepts from the models to be interpreted as the between-visit change related to pregnancy and the beta-coefficient for age as the sympercent difference in change per year of maternal age. No additional adjustment for parity was made because maternal age and parity were highly correlated in this cohort ( $r = 0.72$ ). There were no significant differences between women for whom this was their first pregnancy and those who had more pregnancies. We also looked at splitting the cohort on the basis of age ( $<30$  years and  $>30$  years), but this also had little effect. A secondary analysis to explore whether pregnancy-related change differed between supplement group and the standard care was performed by adding a categorical supplement variable to the above model, the beta-coefficients for each supplement group representing the sympercent difference in between-visit change compared with IFA.

## Results

A total of 875 women were recruited into the main ENID Trial, of whom 811 went on to participate in the ENID-Bone add-on study. A subset of women ( $n = 564$ ) had pQCT scans of usable quality performed on two occasions during pregnancy 116 (SD 24) days apart; of those, 467 had a pair of pQCT scans performed on the same instrument. Table 1 presents the descriptive data at booking for the subset of women ( $n = 467$ ) included in the analyses and those recruited into ENID-Bone who were not included ( $n = 344$ ). Women in these two subsets did not differ significantly by age, though women without longitudinal scans data were slightly heavier ( $p < .01$ ) and had a lower parity ( $p < .05$ ) (Table 1). Women in the subset presented in this article were 14.0 (SD 3.4) weeks pregnant at booking, which was not substantially different from that of the main trial (13.8 [SD 3.3] weeks).<sup>(30)</sup> In our sample, pregnant women gained 5.3 (3.0) kg between booking and P30.

Plasma biochemistry was available for the subset of 467 women with longitudinal pQCT scans (Table 2), although the numbers with data at each pregnancy visit differed for each analyte (Supplemental Table S2). The summary data for the 4% distal and 33%/38% proximal sites at the radius/tibia and for the plasma analytes are in Table 2 for all participants included in this analysis and stratified by supplement group in Table 3. Urine data were also available for 421 women at both visits and are summarized in Supplemental Table S3. The plasma and urine data for the NPNL reference group are provided in Supplemental Table S4. There were significant differences ( $p < .001$ ) between the plasma biochemistry for the pregnant women compared with these NPNL women: higher 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D and lower CTX, PTH, albumin, and magnesium concentrations at both

**Table 1.** Descriptive Statistics at Booking Visit for the Subset of Women With Longitudinal pQCT Scans and the Larger Study Population

	Women with longitudinal pQCT	Women without longitudinal pQCT			
	<i>n</i> = 467	<i>n</i> = 344			
Age (years)	29.7 (6.5)	29.4 (7.1)			
Parity, median (IQR) <sup>a</sup>	4 (2, 6)	4 (1, 6) <sup>d</sup>			
Nulliparous	40 (8.7%)	44 (13.0%)			
Parity 1–4	203 (44.3%)	157 (46.4%)			
Parity 5–6	116 (23.3%)	71 (21.0%)			
Parity 7+	99 (21.6%)	66 (19.5%)			
Weight at booking (kg)	54.8 (9.2)	56.6 (10.6) <sup>b</sup>			
Height (cm)	161.7 (5.8)	162.0 (6.0)			
Sitting height (cm)	80.2 (2.9)	80.1 (3.8)			
		<b>Total <i>n</i> = 467</b>			
	<b>IFA</b>	<b>MMN</b>	<b>PE</b>	<b>PE + MMN</b>	
	<b><i>n</i> = 123</b>	<b><i>n</i> = 119</b>	<b><i>n</i> = 112</b>	<b><i>n</i> = 113</b>	
Age (years)	29.2 (6.3)	29.7 (6.2)	29.6 (6.4)	30.3 (7.0)	
Parity, median (IQR)	4 (2, 6)	4 (2, 6)	4 (2, 6)	5 (3, 7)	
Nulliparous	13 (10.7%)	10 (8.5%)	11 (10.5%)	6 (6.7%)	
Parity 1–4	50 (41.3%)	58 (49.2%)	46 (43.8%)	29 (32.2%)	
Parity 5–6	37 (30.6%)	27 (22.9%)	25 (23.7%)	27 (30%)	
Parity 7+	21 (17.4%)	23 (19.5%)	23 (21.9%)	28.9 (31.1%)	
Weeks pregnant	14.2 (3.5)	13.8 (3.7)	14.0 (3.2)	13.9 (3.3)	
Weight at booking (kg)	54.7 (8.7)	54.2 (9.8)	55.7 (9.2)	54.5 (9.0)	
Weight change (booking to P30, kg)	4.9 (2.9)	5.5 (3.0)	5.4 (3.0)	5.5 (3.1)	
Height (cm)	161.9 (6.3)	161.8 (5.7)	162.0 (5.8)	161.2 (5.4)	
Sitting height (cm)	80.3 (2.8)	80.3 (2.9)	80.2 (3.2)	80.3 (2.8)	

IFA = iron folate; MMN = multiple micronutrients; PE = protein energy; PE + MMN = protein energy and multiple micronutrients.

Descriptive statistics are also presented stratified by supplement group for those with longitudinal pQCT data. All variables expressed in mean (SD), except parity median (IQR) and *n* (% of total) for parity bands.

Welch two-sample *t* test: <sup>a</sup>*p* < .05, <sup>b</sup>*p* = .01, <sup>c</sup>*p* = .001. Mann–Whitney *U* test: <sup>d</sup>*p* = .05, <sup>e</sup>*p* = .01, <sup>f</sup>*p* = .001.

<sup>g</sup>Parity available for 458 women with longitudinal pQCT data and for 338 without longitudinal pQCT.

booking and P30; lower phosphate at booking only; and higher TALP plus lower P1NP and calcium concentrations at P30 only. The 24-hour urinary phosphate and creatinine outputs of the pregnant women were lower than NPNL women at both time points (*p* < .002 and *p* < .001, respectively), whereas urinary calcium output was higher at booking (*p* = .02) but lower at P30 (*p* = .03). These differences remained when expressed relative to creatinine output, with the effect size reduced, except the ratio for magnesium was higher at both booking and P30 (*p* < .001). No significant differences were found at booking between the supplement groups for any characteristic, bone outcome, or biochemistry measure.

### Unadjusted analysis

Independent *t* tests revealed significant between-visit changes in several pQCT bone measures (radius and tibia), all plasma biochemistry (with the exception of corrected Ca), and all urinary biochemistry except magnesium (Mg; Table 2, Supplemental Table S3). Small, but statistically significant, increases were found in vBMD and related measures at distal and proximal sites of both the radius and tibia with increases in CTX, TALP, PTH, 1,25 (OH)<sub>2</sub>D, and phosphate concentrations and decreases in P1NP, albumin, Ca, and Mg concentrations. There were also decreases in the urinary mineral and creatinine outputs between booking and P30 (Supplemental Table S3). No significant changes in bone geometry were observed at these sites, except for an increase in cortical CSA at the proximal tibia (Table 2).

Stratifying these data by maternal supplement group (Table 3), the magnitudes of the changes remained small and positive for the bone measures, with the effect sizes slightly attenuated. At the distal sites, the increases were significant at the radius for total vBMD in the MMN group and for trabecular vBMD in the PE + MMN group, while the significant increases at the tibia were for total and trabecular vBMD in the PE + MMN group. At the proximal sites, increases in cortical vBMD and BMC were significant at both sites in all groups, except at the proximal radius of the PE group (Table 3). Similarly, the changes in the biochemistry after stratification by supplement group remained mostly consistent with the overall pattern. This was with the exception of the increases in CTX, PTH, and plasma phosphate, which were not significant in the PE group, nor CTX and urinary Mg and creatinine outputs within MMN. Plasma magnesium only decreased significantly in the protein-containing supplement groups and plasma phosphate did not decrease in the PE + MMN group (Table 3).

### Adjusted analyses

After age adjustment, significant increases were found at distal sites in radial and tibial trabecular vBMD (1.15 [0.55, 1.75]% and 0.46 [0.17, 0.74]%, respectively) and in tibial total vBMD (0.34 [0.15, 0.54]%) (Fig. 1). No significant changes in total CSA were observed at distal sites. At proximal sites, small but significant increases in cortical outcomes were observed. Radius cortical vBMD and BMC increased by 0.35 (0.26, 0.44)% and 0.55 (0.41, 0.68)%, respectively, whereas tibia cortical vBMD and BMC



**Table 2.** Bone Outcomes, Biochemistry, and Bone Turnover Markers for Pregnant Women With Longitudinal pQCT Measures at Booking and P30

	Booking	P30	$\Delta$ sym%
<b>4% Radius</b>		<i>n</i> = 435	
Total vBMD (mg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	319.23 (44.98)	320.12 (45.44)	0.26 (0.25)
Trabecular vBMD (mg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	160.51 (35.63)	162.03 (34.71)	<b>1.15 (0.30)<sup>c</sup></b>
Total CSA (mm <sup>2</sup> )	335.94 (42.09)	337.85 (44.21)	0.49 (0.31)
<b>33% Radius</b>		<i>n</i> = 440	
Total CSA (mm <sup>2</sup> )	119.82 (16.12)	119.49 (16.82)	−0.34 (0.42)
Cortical vBMD (mg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1239.66 (35.17)	1244.76 (37.32)	<b>0.41 (0.09)<sup>c</sup></b>
Cortical BMC (mg/mm)	94.05 (10.77)	94.51 (10.99)	<b>0.47 (0.11)<sup>c</sup></b>
Cortical CSA (mm <sup>2</sup> )	75.84 (8.17)	75.91 (8.32)	0.06 (0.11)
Cortical thickness (mm)	2.45 (0.23)	2.46 (0.23)	0.38 (0.35)
<b>4% Tibia</b>		<i>n</i> = 407	
Total vBMD (mg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	281.86 (36.08)	282.77 (35.83)	<b>0.34 (0.10)<sup>c</sup></b>
Trabecular vBMD (mg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	190.49 (31.19)	191.26 (30.74)	<b>0.46 (0.14)<sup>b</sup></b>
Total CSA (mm <sup>2</sup> )	945.65 (112.59)	944.46 (112.90)	−0.13 (0.13)
<b>38% Tibia</b>		<i>n</i> = 409	
Total CSA (mm <sup>2</sup> )	377.60 (47.95)	378.28 (49.15)	0.15 (0.14)
Cortical vBMD (mg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1230.23 (35.20)	1234.61 (37.60)	<b>0.35 (0.04)<sup>c</sup></b>
Cortical BMC (mg/mm)	280.01 (34.20)	281.53 (34.27)	<b>0.55 (0.07)<sup>c</sup></b>
Cortical CSA (mm <sup>2</sup> )	227.52 (26.26)	227.94 (26.13)	<b>0.20 (0.06)<sup>c</sup></b>
Cortical thickness (mm)	4.07 (0.41)	4.08 (0.41)	0.13 (0.13)
<b>Bone biochemistry and bone turnover markers<sup>d</sup></b>			
$\beta$ -CTX (ng/L, <i>n</i> = 293)	230 (66, 665)	290 (114, 685)	<b>23.0 (3.64)<sup>c</sup></b>
P1NP ( $\mu$ g/L, <i>n</i> = 295)	59.7 (29.1, 140)	43.4 (21.3, 90.0)	<b>−32.4 (2.30)<sup>c</sup></b>
PTH (ng/L, <i>n</i> = 283)	27.4 (12.6, 51.9)	31.2 (13.6, 61.6)	<b>13.2 (2.78)<sup>c</sup></b>
1,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D (pmol/L, <i>n</i> = 205)	255 (181, 361)	314 (219, 433)	<b>21.0 (1.65)<sup>c</sup></b>
TALP (U/L, <i>n</i> = 464)	79.0 (44.7, 122)	106 (67.4, 172)	<b>29.0 (1.53)<sup>c</sup></b>
Albumin (g/L, <i>n</i> = 464)	31.8 (25.8, 38.1)	25.5 (22.2, 30.0)	<b>−21.4 (0.56)<sup>c</sup></b>
Creatinine ( $\mu$ mol/L, <i>n</i> = 464)	36.3 (26.5, 48.9)	36.6 (25.9, 53.2)	<b>1.08 (0.89)</b>
Phosphate (mmol/L, <i>n</i> = 464)	1.05 (0.81, 1.34)	1.08 (0.85, 1.34)	<b>2.99 (0.80)<sup>c</sup></b>
Calcium (mmol/L, <i>n</i> = 464)	2.25 (2.05, 2.41)	2.12 (1.97, 2.27)	<b>−5.36 (0.28)<sup>c</sup></b>
Calcium <sup>Corr</sup> (mmol/L, <i>n</i> = 464)	2.41 (2.27, 2.53)	2.41 (2.29, 2.51)	0.32 (0.19)
Magnesium (mmol/L, <i>n</i> = 464)	0.76 (0.66, 0.87)	0.75 (0.65, 0.86)	<b>−1.75 (0.42)<sup>c</sup></b>

P30 = 30 weeks' gestation; vBMD = volumetric bone mineral density; CSA = cross-sectional area; BMC = bone mineral content;  $\beta$ -CTX = collagen type 1 cross-linked  $\beta$ -C-telopeptide; P1NP = type 1 procollagen N-terminal; PTH = parathyroid hormone; 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D = 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D; TALP = total alkaline phosphatase; Calcium<sup>Corr</sup> = corrected using the Payne equation, ie, albumin-corrected plasma calcium (mmol/L) = measured plasma calcium mmol/L + ([40-plasma albumin g/L]\*0.02).

Between-visit differences are expressed as sympercents ( $\Delta$  sym%). All pQCT measures expressed as mean (SD), biochemistry as GMean (5th centile, 95th centile), change between visits as  $\Delta$  Mean (SE)%.

Between-visit change explored with independent *t* test absolute between-visit change versus zero.

<sup>a</sup>*p* < .05.

<sup>b</sup>*p* < .01.

<sup>c</sup>*p* < .001.

<sup>d</sup>Reference data for a separate group of non-pregnant non-lactating women can be found in Supplemental Table 45.

increased by 1.15 (0.55, 1.75)%, 0.41 (0.24, 0.58)%, and 0.47 (0.25, 0.69)%, respectively. Only tibia cortical CSA was found to increase (0.20 [0.09, 0.31]%) (Fig. 1). After age adjustment, the magnitude and significance of between-visit changes in maternal plasma and urinary biochemistry (Fig. 2, Supplemental Fig. S2) were broadly consistent with the unadjusted data (Table 2, Supplemental Table S3). At the radius, the change in BMC was inversely associated with maternal age (−0.04 [−0.07, −0.01]%/year), ie, the older the woman, the smaller the increase, but no change was noted in cortical vBMD (−0.02 [−0.05, 0.01]%/year). No significant age effects were found at the tibia. Older maternal age was associated with a greater increase in CTX (1.75 [0.57, 2.80]%/year) and a smaller increase in PTH (−1.10 [−1.95, −0.23]%/year). There were no

significant associations with age among the other plasma or any of the urinary analytes.

Adjusting for supplement group revealed a modifying effect on changes in maternal bone measures and biochemistry after controlling for age. Fig. 3A, B depict the age-adjusted differences in change over time at the radius and tibia for three supplement groups relative to the IFA group; Fig. 4 depicts the biochemistry. The increase in radial cortical vBMD was lower for MMN and PE than for IFA by −0.54 (−1.01, −0.07)% and −0.73 (−1.20, −0.26)%, respectively. The increase in cortical CSA in MMN was 0.67 (0.10, 1.25)% greater than that of the IFA group. Tibial total vBMD for PE + MMN was 0.76 (0.22, 1.30)% greater than the IFA group. In contrast, no differences in markers of bone turnover were found for any supplement group compared with IFA. While

**Table 3.** Bone Outcomes, Biochemistry, and Bone Turnover Markers for Pregnant Women With Longitudinal pQCT Measures at Booking and P30 Stratified by Supplement Group

	IFA		MMN		PE		PEMINN	
	Booking	Δ sym%	Booking	Δ sym%	Booking	Δ sym%	Booking	Δ sym%
<b>4% Radius</b>	<i>n</i> = 117		<i>n</i> = 106		<i>n</i> = 107		<i>n</i> = 105	
Total vBMD (mg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	317.62 (42.48)	0.10 (0.49)	318.13 (45.65)	<b>1.19 (0.51)<sup>a</sup></b>	321.25 (45.87)	-0.28 (0.53)	320.08 (46.64)	0.06 (0.42)
Trabecular vBMD (mg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	160.81 (35.31)	1.12 (0.63)	160.71 (34.07)	0.92 (0.57)	162.54 (36.61)	1.12 (0.72)	157.91 (36.84)	<b>1.46 (0.48)<sup>b</sup></b>
Total CSA (mm)	338.00 (43.33)	0.37 (0.64)	332.33 (37.12)	-0.49 (0.59)	338.87 (41.97)	1.17 (0.66)	334.31 (45.65)	0.93 (0.54)
<b>33% Radius</b>	<i>n</i> = 116		<i>n</i> = 113		<i>n</i> = 109		<i>n</i> = 102	
Total CSA (mm)	120.64 (16.62)	-1.62 (0.87)	116.93 (14.35)	0.41 (0.71)	121.52 (16.92)	0.09 (0.90)	120.27 (16.36)	-0.19 (0.87)
Cortical vBMD (mg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1232.65 (32.32)	<b>0.76 (0.15)<sup>c</sup></b>	1243.42 (36.63)	0.21 (0.17)	1242.09 (36.53)	0.02 (0.20)	1240.88 (34.52)	<b>0.63 (0.17)<sup>c</sup></b>
Cortical BMC (mg/mm)	93.28 (11.82)	<b>0.57 (0.21)<sup>b</sup></b>	93.23 (10.21)	<b>0.67 (0.26)<sup>b</sup></b>	95.31 (11.10)	0.06 (0.21)	94.48 (9.72)	<b>0.57 (0.19)<sup>b</sup></b>
Cortical CSA (mm <sup>2</sup> )	75.63 (8.92)	-0.19 (0.21)	74.96 (7.73)	<b>0.47 (0.24)<sup>a</sup></b>	76.70 (8.30)	0.04 (0.18)	76.14 (7.58)	-0.06 (0.19)
Cortical thickness (mm)	2.43 (0.23)	1.06 (0.71)	2.46 (0.22)	0.34 (0.61)	2.46 (0.25)	-0.01 (0.73)	2.46 (0.22)	0.08 (0.72)
<b>4% Tibia</b>	<i>n</i> = 106		<i>n</i> = 104		<i>n</i> = 93		<i>n</i> = 104	
Total vBMD (mg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	281.99 (38.87)	0.07 (0.18)	282.67 (35.60)	0.24 (0.21)	285.68 (35.13)	0.22 (0.21)	277.49 (34.48)	<b>0.84 (0.20)<sup>c</sup></b>
Trabecular vBMD (mg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	190.56 (35.05)	0.28 (0.30)	191.00 (28.58)	0.32 (0.28)	193.06 (31.05)	0.57 (0.31)	187.59 (29.84)	<b>0.67 (0.28)<sup>a</sup></b>
Total CSA (mm)	948.83 (113.23)	-0.04 (0.20)	935.78 (109.51)	-0.30 (0.24)	946.80 (116.33)	0.18 (0.27)	951.25 (112.57)	-0.33 (0.32)
<b>38% Tibia</b>	<i>n</i> = 105		<i>n</i> = 107		<i>n</i> = 93		<i>n</i> = 104	
Total CSA (mm)	382.75 (52.93)	-0.29 (0.29)	373.16 (49.67)	0.37 (0.32)	378.99 (43.92)	0.37 (0.24)	375.71 (44.33)	0.17 (0.25)
Cortical vBMD (mg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1228.45 (32.41)	<b>0.30 (0.08)<sup>c</sup></b>	1231.39 (36.67)	<b>0.38 (0.01)<sup>c</sup></b>	1228.95 (37.76)	<b>0.23 (0.01)<sup>a</sup></b>	1231.98 (34.37)	<b>0.48 (0.09)<sup>c</sup></b>
Cortical BMC (mg/mm)	281.06 (37.48)	<b>0.51 (0.12)<sup>c</sup></b>	278.75 (36.20)	<b>0.68 (0.17)<sup>c</sup></b>	283.06 (32.21)	<b>0.38 (0.12)<sup>b</sup></b>	277.50 (30.38)	<b>0.60 (0.13)<sup>c</sup></b>
Cortical CSA (mm <sup>2</sup> )	228.77 (29.65)	0.20 (0.10)	226.30 (28.04)	<b>0.30 (0.14)<sup>a</sup></b>	230.20 (24.05)	0.15 (0.10)	225.12 (22.42)	0.12 (0.10)
Cortical thickness (mm)	4.06 (0.42)	0.48 (0.29)	4.08 (0.44)	0.08 (0.31)	4.12 (0.41)	-0.07 (0.21)	4.03 (0.38)	0.02 (0.23)
<b>Bone biochemistry and bone turnover markers<sup>d</sup></b>								
β-CTX (ng/L)	252 (68, 614)	<b>23.5 (6.83)<sup>b</sup></b>	204 (54, 679)	<b>26.8 (8.29)<sup>b</sup></b>	247 (83, 596)	13.6 (6.91)	221 (68.7, 728)	<b>27.4 (7.17)<sup>a</sup></b>
P1NP (μg/L)	63.4 (32.8, 143)	<b>-32.9 (4.05)<sup>c</sup></b>	56.3 (25.8, 136)	<b>-28.2 (4.44)<sup>c</sup></b>	59.7 (32.1, 116)	<b>-34.2 (4.98)<sup>c</sup></b>	59.7 (29.4, 137)	<b>-34.6 (5.02)<sup>c</sup></b>
PTH (ng/L)	25.5 (11.4, 48.9)	<b>20.1 (4.57)<sup>c</sup></b>	27.7 (13.3, 55.2)	6.47 (6.00)	28.2 (15.0, 46.1)	7.95 (6.63)	27.9 (12.6, 60.3)	<b>17.0 (5.20)<sup>b</sup></b>
1,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D (pmol/L)	250 (174, 358)	<b>19.2 (2.98)<sup>c</sup></b>	260 (202, 365)	<b>21.8 (3.39)<sup>c</sup></b>	245 (169, 324)	<b>21.4 (3.47)<sup>c</sup></b>	262 (200, 365)	<b>21.6 (3.41)<sup>c</sup></b>
TALP (U/L)	77.5 (44.7, 123)	<b>28.6 (3.37)<sup>c</sup></b>	78.3 (44.7, 126)	<b>26.1 (2.97)<sup>c</sup></b>	79.8 (43.8, 123)	<b>31.7 (3.20)<sup>c</sup></b>	80.6 (47.5, 122)	<b>30.0 (2.64)<sup>c</sup></b>
Albumin (g/L)	31.2 (25.8, 38.5)	<b>-19.5 (1.14)<sup>c</sup></b>	31.5 (26.5, 37.3)	<b>-20.2 (1.17)<sup>c</sup></b>	31.8 (25.8, 39.3)	<b>-23.3 (1.02)<sup>c</sup></b>	32.1 (26.3, 38.5)	<b>-23.0 (1.15)<sup>c</sup></b>
Creatinine (μmol/L)	35.4 (27.0, 46.5)	1.69 (1.88)	36.3 (25.8, 48.7)	0.22 (1.57)	36.3 (26.6, 53.2)	2.84 (1.74)	37.7 (27.2, 48.8)	-0.41 (1.93)
Phosphate (mmol/L)	1.02 (0.79, 1.32)	<b>7.5 (1.54)<sup>c</sup></b>	1.06 (0.84, 1.30)	<b>3.66 (1.65)<sup>a</sup></b>	1.08 (0.83, 1.38)	-0.59 (1.50)	1.05 (0.82, 1.34)	1.01 (1.59)
Calcium (mmol/L)	2.23 (2.05, 2.41)	<b>-4.54 (0.71)<sup>c</sup></b>	2.25 (2.08, 2.41)	<b>-4.97 (0.49)<sup>c</sup></b>	2.25 (2.08, 2.43)	<b>-6.03 (0.45)<sup>c</sup></b>	2.25 (2.05, 2.44)	<b>-5.99 (0.56)<sup>c</sup></b>
Calcium <sup>Corr</sup> (mmol/L)	2.39 (2.28, 2.53)	0.60 (0.47)	2.41 (2.28, 2.53)	0.32 (0.34)	2.40 (2.28, 2.54)	0.13 (0.33)	2.40 (2.26, 2.55)	0.19 (0.38)
Magnesium (mmol/L)	0.76 (0.67, 0.85)	-0.45 (0.85)	0.76 (0.68, 0.86)	-1.25 (0.80)	0.76 (0.66, 0.85)	<b>-1.79 (0.84)<sup>a</sup></b>	0.77 (0.65, 0.89)	<b>-3.53 (0.85)<sup>c</sup></b>

vBMD = volumetric bone mineral density; CSA = cross-sectional area; BMC = bone mineral content; β-CTX = collagen type 1 cross-linked β-C-telopeptide; P1NP = type 1 procollagen N-terminal; PTH = parathyroid hormone; 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D = 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D; TALP = total alkaline phosphatase; Calcium<sup>Corr</sup> = corrected using the Payne equation, ie, albumin-corrected plasma calcium (mmol/L) = measured plasma calcium mmol/L + ((40-plasma albumin g/L) × 0.02).


Between-visit change explored with independent t test absolute between-visit change versus zero. Between-visit differences are expressed as sympercents (Δ sym%). All pQCT measures expressed as Mean (SD), biochemistry as GMean (5th centile, 95th centile), change between visits as Δ Mean (SE)%.

<sup>a</sup>*p* < .05.


<sup>b</sup>*p* < .01.

<sup>c</sup>*p* < .001.

<sup>d</sup>Exact numbers for each outcome by supplement group summarized in Supplemental Table S2.




**Fig 1.** Pregnancy-related between-visit change in pQCT bone outcomes at the radius and tibia. Beta-coefficients of percentage between-visit change (mean [95% confidence interval]) for pQCT bone outcomes at the radius and tibia. Model adjusted for maternal age only. vBMD = volumetric bone mineral density; CSA = cross-sectional area; BMC = bone mineral content. <sup>a</sup> $p < .05$ ; <sup>b</sup> $p < .01$ ; <sup>c</sup> $p < .001$ .




**Fig 2.** Pregnancy-related between-visit change in maternal bone turnover markers and analytes of bone biochemistry. Beta-coefficients of percentage between-visit change (mean [95% confidence interval]) in maternal biochemistry and bone turnover markers. Model adjusted for maternal age only.  $\beta$ -CTX = collagen type 1 cross-linked  $\beta$ -C-telopeptide; P1NP = type 1 procollagen N-terminal; PTH = parathyroid hormone; TALP = total alkaline phosphatase. <sup>a</sup> $p < .05$ ; <sup>b</sup> $p < .01$ ; <sup>c</sup> $p < .001$ .





**Fig 3.** Differences in pregnancy-related between-visit change between iron folate group and supplement groups in pQCT bone outcomes at the radius and tibia. Beta-coefficients of percentage difference in change between supplement groups and IFA reference group (mean [95% confidence interval]) for pQCT bone outcomes at the radius (A) and tibia (B). Model adjusted for maternal age and supplement group. IFA = iron folate; MMN = multi-micronutrients; PE = protein energy; PE + MMN = protein energy and multi-micronutrients; vBMD = volumetric bone mineral density; CSA = cross-sectional area; BMC = bone mineral content. <sup>a</sup> $p < .05$ ; <sup>b</sup> $p < .01$ ; <sup>c</sup> $p < .001$ .



**Fig 4.** Differences in pregnancy-related between-visit change between iron folate group and supplement groups in maternal bone turnover markers and analytes of bone biochemistry. Beta-coefficients of percentage difference in change between supplement groups and IFA reference group (mean [SE]) for maternal biochemistry and bone turnover markers. Model adjusted for maternal age and supplement group. IFA = iron folate; MMN = multi-micronutrients; PE = protein energy; PE + MMN = protein energy and multi-micronutrients;  $\beta$ -CTX = collagen type 1 cross-linked  $\beta$ -C-telopeptide; P1NP = type 1 procollagen N-terminal; PTH = parathyroid hormone; TALP = total alkaline phosphatase. <sup>a</sup> $p < .05$ ; <sup>b</sup> $p < .01$ ; <sup>c</sup> $p < .001$ .

albumin decreased overall, the decrease was 3.8 (−6.92, −0.72)% and 3.7 (−6.66, −0.48)% greater in the PE and PE + MMN groups, respectively, compared with IFA. Decreases in Mg in the PE + MMN group were 3.2 (−5.47, −0.85)% greater than the IFA group ( $p < .01$ ); however, this was attenuated after adjustment for albumin. Although there was an increase in phosphate overall, this was significantly less in the PE (−8.1 [−12.45, −3.71]%) and PE + MMN (−6.5 [−10.82, −2.11]%) groups compared with IFA. The only urinary analyte where differences were found compared with IFA was urinary phosphate creatinine ratio, where the decrease in the MMN, PE, and PE + MMN groups was lower by 19.8 (4.13, 35.57)%, 16.1 (0.09, 32.19)%, and 19.4 (3.58, 35.02)%, respectively.

## Discussion

This study has shown that pregnancy-related bone mineral mobilization is not evident in the appendicular skeleton of rural Gambian women between the early second and mid-third trimesters of pregnancy. In contrast, there was evidence of mineral accretion in the cortical compartment of both the radius and tibia, although the magnitude of the increases for cortical vBMD and BMC were no greater than 0.5%. There was also some limited evidence of supplement modulation in the changes found in the bone measures. Although the magnitudes of the pQCT changes were modest and their clinical or physiological significance likely to be minimal, they may still reflect alterations in maternal Ca homeorhesis during pregnancy.

To date, few comparable studies have been conducted using pQCT in pregnancy. In a study based in Cambridge (UK), we

recently reported decreases in maternal vBMD at the tibia with HR-pQCT (total and cortical) and pQCT (total and trabecular).<sup>(22)</sup> The Cambridge study had a similar design to the one presented here, with identical pQCT scan sites imaged and the same protocol used at both centers, although the final visit occurred later in pregnancy (34 to 36 weeks' gestation, mean 35.5 weeks). Two other studies have used pQCT technologies during gestation. A Swiss study, using a different pQCT modality, with slightly different scan sites, reported decreases in trabecular vBMD at the radius during pregnancy.<sup>(21)</sup> In that study, no change in cortical vBMD was observed, although their scan site was more distal than the 33% site used in our study and will have contained some trabecular bone. A recent pQCT study from an ethnically diverse US population reported no changes in maternal cortical bone outcomes.<sup>(31)</sup>

Studies that have used DXA and its precursors to image the forearm have mostly found little evidence of mineral mobilization at the trabecular-rich distal radius,<sup>(10,12,20)</sup> consistent with the findings from our Gambian pQCT study. Studies that have used DXA to scan the 33% radius (almost exclusively cortical bone) reported no evidence of mineral mobilization.<sup>(10,12,18,20)</sup> However, studies of pregnancy and lactation have suggested conservation of bone mineral at cortical-rich sites of the appendicular skeleton.<sup>(18)</sup> Our pQCT data also suggest conservation and possible accretion of bone mineral at cortical-rich sites in the peripheral skeleton during pregnancy.

As has been shown in previous Gambian studies,<sup>(32,33)</sup> the NPPL reference group had high PTH and low urinary calcium excretion compared with typical Western values, indicative of adaptation to their low calcium intake.<sup>(34)</sup> At the booking visit (early second trimester), the pregnant women in the pQCT study

had lower PTH, CTX, albumin, plasma phosphate, and plasma magnesium plus higher 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>vitamin D and urinary calcium excretion than the NPNL reference group. As such, these findings are in line with the pregnancy-related differences expected due to metabolic changes and hemodilution.<sup>(35)</sup> Unlike CTX, where decreases in the second trimester are considered to reflect hemodilution,<sup>(35)</sup> there was no indication of lower P1NP concentrations at booking, possibly suggesting a subtle shift in the balance of bone turnover in these women in favor of formation at this stage of pregnancy.

In contrast to finding evidence of a lack of maternal bone mineral mobilization with pQCT, there were significant changes in both CTX and P1NP concentrations between the early second to third trimesters in the Gambian women. In keeping with other studies of pregnancy,<sup>(6,36–38)</sup> we observed increases in CTX between early and late pregnancy; however, at both booking and P30, mean CTX concentration was lower than that found in the local population of NPNL (Supplemental Fig. S3). Few studies have been published using P1NP, and these report increases during the third trimester,<sup>(6,37)</sup> a pattern also reported for another bone formation marker, procollagen type 1 C propeptide (P1CP).<sup>(6,10)</sup> The physiological significance of this is difficult to interpret because increases in P1NP and P1CP in late gestation may be related to fetal production rather than maternal.<sup>(6)</sup> Our findings, however, indicate that P1NP decreased into the third trimester of pregnancy in the Gambian women, suggesting a decrease in bone formation and a shift in the balance of bone turnover in favor of resorption.

The lack of evidence of mobilization from appendicular bone in the context of increased resorption (versus booking) and reduced bone formation (versus booking and NPNL) does not preclude that mineral mobilization may occur elsewhere in the maternal skeleton. However, taking the BTM data in context, and noting the reduction in urinary calcium output at P30 below that of the NPNL reference group, it appears more likely that maternal mineral reserves may be preserved (at least to 30 weeks) by reduced bone turnover and increased renal calcium conservation. It is possible that these findings represent a further manifestation of metabolic adaptation to the low calcium intake in this rural Gambian population. An early study in The Gambia<sup>(39)</sup> reported elevated concentrations compared with Western values of calcitonin, a hormone that increases during gestation and may have a physiological role in protecting the skeleton during pregnancy.<sup>(35)</sup> It is also possible that the apparent conservation of appendicular bone mineral, especially at the distal tibia, may relate to the fact that almost all women in this rural region are involved in physically demanding farming throughout pregnancy, and that walking, often with heavy head-loads, is the primary mode of transport.<sup>(40)</sup>

Unlike many of the studies in the literature, the age range of this study cohort was very broad, with women aged between 18 and 45 years recruited. In addition, compared with other studies, the mean parity of these women was high and highly correlated with age. Maternal age, and by proxy parity, had little impact as a predictor of between-visit changes in bone and biochemical measures, but, interestingly, significant inverse associations with age were found for the increases in cortical BMC at the radius and PTH concentrations, plus a positive association for the increase in CTX. This indicates that the older the mother, the smaller the increases in cortical BMC and PTH but the greater the increase in CTX. This pattern suggests that being older in this cohort was associated with a greater increase in resorption between the two pregnancy visits 3 to 4 months apart. It is

possible that this reflects age-related increases in bone resorption superimposed on those related specifically to pregnancy. This possibility would require comparison with an age-matched, non-pregnant reference group of women to have been scanned over the same time period as the pregnant women, which was not possible in our study.

## Strengths

This study presents, to the best of our knowledge, the first use of pQCT during pregnancy in sub-Saharan Africa. In addition, it is the largest study to date anywhere to use pQCT in pregnancy. While some studies have only measured the non-load-bearing forearm, we investigated changes at the load-bearing tibia in addition to the radius. By investigating changes in bone turnover markers and maternal biochemistry, we were able to obtain information about possible systemic changes in maternal bone metabolism. These data complement our pQCT scans of the peripheral skeleton and may indirectly provide insights into potential changes occurring in the axial skeleton. Although we did not have an NPNL control arm in the study, we were able to use NPNL reference values for plasma and urinary biochemistry from another study in this rural Gambian population.

## Limitations

The limitations of this work include that we cannot determine whether mineral mobilization may have occurred in the first trimester of pregnancy or for any natural age-related changes in bone. Also, because the third trimester visit was at around 30 weeks' gestation and because there is evidence that late pregnancy is a resorptive state, this will have limited the potential to detect changes in the appendicular skeleton that may have occurred closer to term. Because we were unable to use DXA during pregnancy, it is difficult to interpret what may be taking place in the axial skeleton. Another limitation is that, although we found no evidence of mineral mobilization in the appendicular skeleton using pQCT, it is conceivable that mobilization could occur without an apparent decrease in vBMD through other mechanisms that cannot be detected with single-slice pQCT, such as reorganization of bone microarchitecture.<sup>(22)</sup> Women taking part in the study all received Gambian standard-of-care IFA supplementation, and, as such, represented the control arm for this study. We do not think it is likely that women in the IFA only could have benefited from improved nutrition compared with non-study women in the population, while these women may have received additional health care because of regular contact with the study team before and during the main trial. The lack of consistent findings when stratified by supplement group may suggest that maternal supplementation had little effect on calcium and bone metabolism in pregnancy, but it should be noted that none of the supplements contained calcium and the supplements were not designed to specifically impact bone. Further limitations include the lack of pQCT measures in an age-related NPNL group, the difficulty of interpreting changes in plasma and urine data during a period of physiological alterations in hemodilution and glomerular filtration rate, and that the women in the study had received health care before and during pregnancy beyond what is typical in this community because of their involvement in the ENID trial.

These data provide an insight into maternal bone mineral metabolism during pregnancy in the appendicular skeleton of rural Gambian women. To date, these are the only such

densitometric data collected during pregnancy in sub-Saharan Africa. No evidence of bone mineral mobilization was found at the radius and tibia, but changes observed in BTMs between the second and third trimesters suggest a shift in the ratio of resorption to formation, although both CTX and P1NP concentrations were lower than the NPPL reference group at both visits. In contrast, small but significant increases in vBMD and BMC were observed at both trabecular- and cortical-rich sites in the radius and tibia, representing both load-bearing and non-load-bearing sites of the appendicular skeleton. Although the magnitudes of these increases were modest in this index pregnancy, it is possible that, in populations where high parity is common, these small increases in vBMD and BMC could increase bone strength if accumulated across multiple pregnancies. Research with pQCT and DXA in populations where parity is high has previously suggested potential beneficial adaptations related to high parity.<sup>(41)</sup> Further, we observed some modulation by dietary supplement group, but these effects were marginal in magnitude. In conclusion, maternal bone mineral does not appear to be mobilized from the appendicular skeleton during pregnancy in this population on a low Ca diet, but there is evidence that maternal mineral reserves may be preserved through reduced bone turnover and increased renal calcium conservation.

## Disclosures

All authors state that they have no conflicts of interest.

## Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the contribution of the participants who took part in this study. We thank the women of West Kiang who patiently participated in the study. We acknowledge the enthusiastic work of the ENID study team, especially the fieldworkers, village assistants, midwives, clinical staff, and laboratory technicians who tirelessly collected the data and samples. We acknowledge the support of Mr Bakary Sonko and colleagues in the Keneba data office for the development and management of the ENID database. We thank Mr Darren Cole for assistance in processing pQCT output. We are grateful to Dr Shailja Nigdikar, Mrs Janet Bennett, and Mrs Ann Laidlaw of the MRC Elsie Widowson Laboratory, Cambridge, UK, who processed and analyzed all biochemistry and bone turnover markers. This research was jointly funded by the MRC (program codes U105960371, U123261351, MCA760-5QX00) and the Department for International Development (DFID) under the MRC/DFID Concordat agreement.

Authors' roles: Study design: MÓB, KAW, SEM, and AP. Study conduct and data collection: KAW, MC MM, LJ, SEM, and AP. Data analysis and interpretation: MÓB, KAW, SMS, and AP. Drafting manuscript and revising manuscript content: MÓB, KAW, and AP. Approving final version of manuscript: MÓB, KAW, SMS, MC, MM, LJ, SEM, and AP. MÓB and AP take responsibility for the integrity of the data analysis.

## Author contributions

MÓB: Conceptualization; data curation; formal analysis; methodology; project administration; visualization; writing-original draft; writing-review & editing. KAW: Conceptualization; data curation; formal analysis; investigation; methodology; project administration; supervision; validation; visualization; writing-original draft;

writing-review & editing. SMS: Data curation; formal analysis; methodology; visualization; writing-review & editing. LJ: Data curation; project administration; writing-review & editing. MC: Project administration; writing-review & editing. MM: Project administration; writing-review & editing. SEM: Conceptualization; data curation; formal analysis; funding acquisition; investigation; methodology; project administration; resources; supervision; writing-review & editing. AP: Conceptualization; data curation; formal analysis; funding acquisition; investigation; methodology; project administration; resources; supervision; visualization; writing-original draft; writing-review & editing.

## Peer Review

The peer review history for this article is available at <https://publons.com/publon/10.1002/jbmr.4295>.

## Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## References

- Forbes GB. Letter: calcium accumulation by the human fetus. *Pediatrics*. 1976;57(6):976-977.
- Givens MH, Macy IG. The chemical composition of the human fetus. *J Biol Chem*. 1933;102:7-17.
- Kalkwarf HJ, Specker BL. Bone mineral changes during pregnancy and lactation. *Endocrine*. 2002;17(1):49-53.
- Olausson H, Goldberg GR, Laskey MA, Schoenmakers I, Jarjou LM, Prentice A. Calcium economy in human pregnancy and lactation. *Nutr Res Rev*. 2012;25(1):40-67.
- Sowers M, Crutchfield M, Jannausch M, Updike S, Corton G. A prospective evaluation of bone mineral change in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1991;77(6):841-845.
- Naylor KE, Iqbal P, Fledelius C, Fraser RB, Eastell R. The effect of pregnancy on bone density and bone turnover. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2000;15(1):129-137.
- Drinkwater BL, Chesnut CH 3rd. Bone density changes during pregnancy and lactation in active women: a longitudinal study. *Bone Miner*. 1991;14(2):153-160.
- Ritchie LD, Fung EB, Halloran BP, et al. A longitudinal study of calcium homeostasis during human pregnancy and lactation and after resumption of menses. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1998;67(4):693-701.
- Holmberg-Marttila D, Sievanen H, Tuimala R. Changes in bone mineral density during pregnancy and postpartum: prospective data on five women. *Osteopor Int*. 1999;10(1):41-46.
- Black AJ, Topping J, Durham B, Farquharson RG, Fraser WD. A detailed assessment of alterations in bone turnover, calcium homeostasis, and bone density in normal pregnancy. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2000;15(3):557-563.
- More C, Bhattoa HP, Bettembuk P, Balogh A. The effects of pregnancy and lactation on hormonal status and biochemical markers of bone turnover. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2003;106(2):209-213.
- More C, Bettembuk P, Bhattoa HP, Balogh A. The effects of pregnancy and lactation on bone mineral density. *Osteopor Int*. 2001;12(9):732-737.
- Ulrich U, Miller PB, Eyre DR, Chesnut CH 3rd, Schlebusch H, Soules MR. Bone remodeling and bone mineral density during pregnancy. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2003;268(4):309-316.
- Fiore CE, Pennisi P, DiStefano A, Riccobene S, Caschetto S. Pregnancy-associated changes in bone density and bone turnover in the physiological state: prospective data on sixteen women. *Horm Metab Res*. 2003;35(5):313-318.

15. Butte NF, Ellis KJ, Wong WW, Hopkinson JM, Smith EOB. Composition of gestational weight gain impacts maternal fat retention and infant birth weight. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2003;189(5):1423-1432.
16. Kaur M, Pearson D, Godber I, Lawson N, Baker P, Hosking D. Longitudinal changes in bone mineral density during normal pregnancy. *Bone*. 2003;32(4):449-454.
17. Pearson D, Kaur M, San P, Lawson N, Baker P, Hosking D. Recovery of pregnancy mediated bone loss during lactation. *Bone*. 2004;34(3):570-578.
18. Olausson H, Laskey MA, Goldberg GR, Prentice A. Changes in bone mineral status and bone size during pregnancy and the influences of body weight and calcium intake. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2008;88(4):1032-1039.
19. Moller UK, Vieth Streym S, Mosekilde L, Rejnmark L. Changes in bone mineral density and body composition during pregnancy and postpartum. A controlled cohort study. *Osteopor Int*. 2012;23(4):1213-1223.
20. Kolthoff N, Eiken P, Kristensen B, Nielsen SP. Bone mineral changes during pregnancy and lactation: a longitudinal cohort study. *Clin Sci*. 1998;94(4):405-412.
21. Wisser J, Florio I, Neff M, et al. Changes in bone density and metabolism in pregnancy. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2005;84(4):349-354.
22. Ó Breasail M, Prentice A, Ward K. Pregnancy-related bone mineral and microarchitecture changes in women aged 30 to 45 years. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2020;35(7):1253-1262.
23. Moore SE, Fulford AJ, Darboe MK, Jobarteh ML, Jarjou LM, Prentice AM. A randomized trial to investigate the effects of pre-natal and infant nutritional supplementation on infant immune development in rural Gambia: the ENID trial: early nutrition and immune development. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2012;12:107.
24. Hennig BJ, Unger SA, Dondeh BL, et al. Cohort profile: the Kiang West Longitudinal Population Study (KWLPs)—a platform for integrated research and health care provision in rural Gambia. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2017;46(2).
25. Oatway W, Jones A, Holmes S, Watson S, Cabianca T. Ionising radiation exposure of the UK population, 2010 review. London, England: Centre for Radiation, Chemical and Environmental Hazards, Public Health; 2016.
26. Payne RB, Little AJ, Williams RB, Milner JR. Interpretation of serum calcium in patients with abnormal serum proteins. *Br Med J*. 1973;4(5893):643-646.
27. Dupont W, Plummer W. Power and sample size calculations for studies involving linear regression. *Control Clin Trials*. 1998;19:589-601.
28. Wickham H, François R, Henry L, Müller K. Dplyr: a grammar of data manipulation. 2019. Epub R package version 0.8.3.
29. Cole TJ. Sympercents: symmetric percentage differences on the 100 log(e) scale simplify the presentation of log transformed data. *Stat Med*. 2000;19(22):3109-3125.
30. Okala SG, Darboe MK, Sosseh F, et al. Impact of nutritional supplementation during pregnancy on antibody responses to diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis vaccination in infants: a randomised trial in The Gambia. *PLoS Med*. 2019;16(8).
31. Cullers A, King JC, van Loan M, Gildengorin G, Fung EB. Effect of pre-natal calcium supplementation on bone during pregnancy and 1 y postpartum. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2019;109(1):197-206.
32. Aspray TJ, Yan L, Prentice A. Parathyroid hormone and rates of bone formation are raised in perimenopausal rural Gambian women. *Bone*. 2005;36(4):710-720.
33. Prentice A, Jarjou LM, Stirling DM, Buffenstein R, Fairweather-Tait S. Biochemical markers of calcium and bone metabolism during 18 months of lactation in Gambian women accustomed to a low calcium intake and in those consuming a calcium supplement. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1998;83(4):1059-1066.
34. Prentice A, Laskey MA, Shaw J, et al. The calcium and phosphorus intakes of rural Gambian women during pregnancy and lactation. *Br J Nutr*. 1993;69(3):885-896.
35. Kovacs CS. Maternal mineral and bone metabolism during pregnancy, lactation, and post-weaning recovery. *Physiol Rev*. 2016;96(2):449-547.
36. Yamaga A, Taga M, Minaguchi H. Changes in urinary excretions of C-telopeptide and cross-linked N-telopeptide of type I collagen during pregnancy and puerperium. *Endocr J*. 1997;44(5):733-738.
37. Kaur M, Godber IM, Lawson N, Baker PN, Pearson D, Hosking DJ. Changes in serum markers of bone turnover during normal pregnancy. *Ann Clin Biochem*. 2003;40(Pt 5):508-513.
38. Haliloglu B, Ilter E, Aksungar FB, et al. Bone turnover and maternal 25(OH) vitamin D3 levels during pregnancy and the postpartum period: should routine vitamin D supplementation be increased in pregnant women? *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2011;158(1):24-27.
39. Stevenson JC, Whitehead MI, Lane G. Calcium homeostasis during pregnancy. *Br Med J*. 1981;283(6295):860-861.
40. Prentice AM, Whitehead RG, Roberts SB, Paul AA. Long-term energy balance in child-bearing Gambian women. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1981;34(12):2790-2799.
41. Specker B, Binkley T. High parity is associated with increased bone size and strength. *Osteoporos Int*. 2005;16(12):1969-1974.